

control, freeway operations including ramp metering and toll collection, police, transit, and transportation research. Centers incorporating or moving toward collocation include the TransGuide Control Center in San Antonio, the State of Maryland CHART Operations Center, the Montgomery County Traffic Management Center, the Michigan Intelligent Transportation Systems Center in Detroit, and the Houston TranStar Center. The Atlanta Advanced Traffic Management Center is scheduled to be available for the 1996 Summer Olympics.

Few evaluations of integrated facilities are currently available; however, stories from integrated centers and the trend in developing them tell a compelling story about the value of such facilities. The San Antonio TransGuide facility opened in the summer of 1995. The value of an integrated facility was demonstrated the week before the center opened when an industrial plant fire erupted within view of freeway video monitoring. Based on the visibility afforded at TransGuide, the fire was accessed and fought more effectively, possibly saving the lives of several firefighters. Both local police and fire agencies were convinced of the wisdom of their investment in collocation.

Accelerating ITS Deployment: A Report from the U.S. DOT

BY CHRISTINE JOHNSON

Innovations
in ITS



AN ITE JOURNAL
SPECIAL ISSUE

The trouble with the future is you never get there. Many transportation professionals—faced with issues of whether there's money to plow snow and fix potholes—see the ITS vision of vehicles that "sense" lane markers, warn drivers of danger, display personalized traffic information, and even drive as a distant promise that won't be fulfilled in this lifetime. ITS doesn't seem to solve the problems of today and, in the face of budget cuts, a brand new transportation system seems hard to justify. So for some professionals, an intelligent transportation system (ITS) may seem like the transportation equivalent of "Star Wars."

What ITS Is and Is Not...

The fact is, however, ITS is here today. It's affordable, and it is currently being applied to some of today's thorniest transportation problems—safety, capacity and environmental impact:

- Integrated traffic control systems with bus priority systems
- Freeway management systems including ramp metering and traffic monitoring
- Transit fleet management systems using automatic vehicle location (AVL) and in-vehicle monitoring
- Incident response systems
- Electronic toll and fare collection
- High-speed commercial vehicle identification and weigh in motion
- Travel information systems

Each of these systems rely on core communications, computer and sensing technologies that form the heart of the intelligent transportation system of tomorrow. These technologies are being deployed today—not as components of an ITS but often as stand-alone solutions to current transportation problems. And they're yielding the desired results: improved freeway speeds and greater arterial throughput, faster accident response, greater security and productivity for transit fleets, and streamlining safety regulatory functions.

Several private sector ITS products also are being introduced into the marketplace. Avis and Hertz offer in-vehicle global positioning satellite (GPS) based navigation equipment. Ford will shortly introduce a MAYDAY service on its new Lincoln Continentals, also based on in-vehicle GPS and cellular telephone. Companies such as Metro Traffic and SmartRoutes offer sophisticated traffic reporting through increasingly customized distribution channels. In other areas, citizens retrieve local traffic information from the Internet or local cable networks. For public trans-

portation, software is being developed for innovative services such as route deviation of fixed-route operations.

ITS is not science fiction. And it is being implemented in our lifetime.

Deploying ITS Components Today to Build Tomorrow's ITS Platform

Many ITS components will not be implemented as "new" budget line items. Today, most county, city and state budgets include traffic signal expenditures. Most transit properties periodically upgrade their bus communications systems. Many states are investing in freeway control and incident response systems. How these systems, system extensions and system upgrades are purchased determines whether they become powerful components of a first-generation ITS travel management system and an integrated platform for evolving the next generation of ITS, or the Betamax of transportation that locks jurisdictions into the technological past. Few ITS systems will be purchased and built from the ground up as new budget items. Most will evolve from the incremental decisions that we as professionals make in our daily routines today.

Will today's procurements become the stumbling blocks or the enabling platforms of tomorrow? The key is whether we "buy smart"—buy components of an integrated system—or simply replace the stand-alone systems of

Christine Johnson is Director of the Joint Intelligent Vehicle/Highway Systems Program Office at the Federal Highway Administration. She received an M.A. in urban transportation planning and a doctorate in public policy analysis from the University of Illinois. Johnson is an Associate of ITE.

the past. As purchases are made, we need to ask whether traffic control, freeway and transit management systems have the capacity to one day be integrated. We need to ask whether the data map-referencing systems and communications networks will be compatible, or whether they will be next year's technological barriers?

Core Infrastructure for Metropolitan Travel Management

Operational test results and early ITS system deployment to date indicate ITS will rely on a communications infrastructure and a traffic and transit movement information base. Many of the systems components described above involve developing a communications infrastructure and information databases. These systems can be deployed so that each contributes to the development of a larger, more robust communications infrastructure and information base. Such foresight will yield two beneficial results:

1. The performance of the stand-alone system is substantially enhanced today. For example, a transit property whose AVL system can "talk" to the local traffic control center can adjust transit schedules based on current real-time traffic conditions. A traffic and freeway control center linked electronically to an emergency response center can substantially reduce response times—saving lives and minimizing congestion. An automatic toll collection system linked to a traffic operations center can provide additional traffic flow information at a fraction of the usual cost for surveillance.
2. The ITS electronic communications and information platform enables a number of new public and private services, including a cable TV traveler channel, in-vehicle messaging, travel information delivered via kiosks, personal digital assistants (PDAs), cellular phones, interactive television and other distribution media.

Based on these observations, U.S. DOT has defined a core set of first-level ITS components that, if deployed as an integrated system (albeit a component at a time), can form the platform for launching numerous public and private services and products, some envisioned and many unimaginable today. We are encouraging deployment

Table 1. ITS Core Infrastructure and Benefits

■ Traffic Signal Control Systems

Optimize traffic flow by adjusting signal timing and patterns in response to real-time traffic data. Coordinated, computerized traffic signals in Lexington, Ky., reduced stop-and-go traffic delays by about 40 percent and reduced accidents by 31 percent.

■ Freeway Management Systems

A formal program for improving traffic flow on high-volume roadway segments via adjustments to ramp metering rates, variable message signs and highway advisory radio messages based on real-time traffic surveillance. Ramp metering in Minneapolis, Minn., increased freeway speeds by 35 percent and freeway capacity by 22 percent, and reduced accident rates by 25 percent.

■ Transit Management Systems

A program for managing bus operations based on real-time bus location information. Using automatic vehicle location (AVL) data to optimize bus routes and reduce run times allowed Kansas City, Mo., to eliminate seven buses from its fleet of 200. The savings paid for the system in two years.

■ Incident Management Programs

A program to identify and respond to vehicle accidents or breakdowns with appropriate emergency services; restore roadway to full service. The Incident Management Program in Chicago, Ill., has reduced the time to clear incidents by 50 percent.

■ Electronic Fare Payment Systems

A system to consolidate all transit and parking transactions onto one card to add convenience for users and provide centralized information to transit agency managers. In Los Angeles, Calif., the benefits of Smart Cards were shown to exceed the costs by more than double.

■ Electronic Toll Collection Systems

A system to allow nonstop toll payment. The Oklahoma Turnpike's electronic toll collection system minimizes driver delays and has cut the State's operational cost per lane for toll collection by 91 percent.

■ Regional Multimodal Traveler Information Centers

Collect, analyze and distribute accurate, reliable and timely travel information to travelers and commercial carriers when and where they want it. Montgomery County, Maryland, broadcasts traffic conditions on major roadways to 180,000 homes via cable television.

of the appropriate set of each of these components in an integrated system in each of our metropolitan areas. Appropriateness and integration are important themes.

Appropriateness. Each metropolitan area will design its own system, tailored to its specific needs (for instance, only areas with toll roads will deploy automatic toll collection). Some areas will choose to electronically link individual control centers; others will consolidate multiple functions. The Interstate System applied one design to the entire country, but an intelligent transportation system will be designed to fit the metropolitan area it serves. Decisions will be made locally to address specific regional needs.

Integration. Jurisdictional authority would still exist—cities would run their

own traffic control systems, and transit properties would manage their own fleets. However, traffic flow and transit data would be available to other agencies and could be used for cooperative response in emergency situations and regular distribution to the traveling public. The system interfaces would also follow a minimum set of national standards to ensure the motoring public a base level of interoperability. Coordinated, multiagency responses to various situations could be established and, in some cases, control could be delegated when a traffic center is temporarily disabled or wants to conserve resources by delegating specific traffic control authority to another site to reduce its off-hour staffing.

Table 1 defines the components of this core infrastructure. Each is both a

stand-alone system and a component of a larger ITS platform. Each component can and is being deployed on its own merits, and each yields its own set of benefits.

Cost—the Driving Force for Deployment

In the face of shrinking budgets, some view ITS as an unaffordable luxury. No one expects that an entire intelligent transportation system will be purchased all at once as a brand new system. Most of it will be built over time from system upgrades, expansions and replacements. However, for the sake of argument, the estimated price tag to deploy "from scratch" a complete set of the core infrastructure (Table 1) in a medium-sized metropolitan area is \$277 million. That seems high. But weigh that cost against the alternatives: \$277 million could purchase seven or eight miles of urban freeway or a few miles of light rail. Either investment would reduce congestion and improve safety, but in only that corridor; the rest of the metropolitan area would remain largely unaffected. A complete set of ITS core infrastructure, however, will improve efficiency and productivity measurably for all transportation systems—freeways, arterials and transit—across the entire metropolitan area. As decision-makers search for new ways to spend less to do more, an intelligent transportation system can be a political lifesaver.

Next Steps for the Local Transportation Professional

In today's climate of fast-paced technological change, inaction is a de facto decision. Certain simple actions taken now can increase the productivity of systems already in place, attract investment partners to share in larger systems and, ultimately, offer more services to the customer—citizens in the local area.

1. Look at your jurisdiction's budget. Are you making core infrastructure purchases? If so, are you purchasing expandable systems capable of linking to others? Do your current systems have "linking" capacity; are they inter-compatible? Involve your metropolitan

planning organization: find out what projects are being considered; could they be coordinated?

2. Look at your long-term communications needs relative to those likely in other transportation jurisdictions in the region, and consider the economic benefits of working together. Talk to communications companies as they explore means to "wire" regions for the information highway. Many highway and transit rights-of-way will have barter value over the next two or three years; exchange them for the communications capacity needed for ITS infrastructure. In Missouri, a private communications company is laying fiber-optic cable along 1,250 miles of a state right-of-way. The state transportation department will have access to a complete communications backbone for its ITS system. The state is responsible for all system components it interconnects to the main fiber network, which the private company will wholly own, operate and maintain; the company's total cost for deployment is expected to be about \$45 million; Missouri will pay nothing.

3. Ask some tough questions about the compatibility of databases and geographic references. Many agencies are developing numerous databases, most with geographic references. Some databases support ISTE management systems, others are for real-time operations management, but they all represent tremendous effort and expense. Are your local databases designed to be shared electronically, in real time? Do they have common geographic references?

4. Convene the players. Technological integration is only as good as institutional willingness to work collaboratively. Are the agencies making individual purchases of electronic equipment talking to each other about the potential of integration? What can the private sector contribute—are they at the table? If not, it is time to include them in the conversation. Don't limit coordination to one mode or just the public sector. Ultimately, ITS is an intermodal partnership.

5. Get smart and train others. Many of us tend to make decisions within the range of our comfort zones, but technology is moving so fast that the comfort zones we created for ourselves during our professional training may have

become limiting. We will have to make decisions on system procurement, integration, communications design that may exceed our professional backgrounds. We need to find ways to expand our skills and those of our work forces.

6. Develop an evolutionary vision. Each region must share a vision of an integrated "end state" that has "buy-in" from a majority of the region's public and private sector players. The final integrated system will not be purchased or acquired all at one time; it will be pieced together bit by bit, with each agency or company contributing components, data and infrastructure. To avoid building a hodgepodge, everyone must work with roughly the same end vision in mind and think total travel options—not just highway travel, not just transit travel.

7. Share travel information with the public. Each component used data for control and management purposes; this data can be reformatted easily by a variety of private sector companies and distributed to the public as travel information. This step is important; it's the only way citizens will experience firsthand the reality of the investment that has been made. Citizen support for the Montgomery County (Maryland) County Transportation Operations Center (TOC) swayed from passive to positive after the TOC began transmitting video from three traffic cameras via cable television.

Next Steps for the Federal Government

When the goal to build the Interstate was set, the federal government assumed multiple leadership roles primarily by creating a special category of funding and paying 90 percent of the system's costs. The federal government also facilitated setting standards for everything from geometric design to signing in order to achieve a nationally compatible system. The federal government also supported a national training effort under the 1970 Highway Act by creating the National Highway Institute.

As we begin construction of the next generation of transportation in the United States, the federal government will again assume a leadership role, but tailored to today's conditions. We are

no longer linking a nation; we are now underpinning an existing infrastructure with an electronic infrastructure to expand the original's (whether transit or highway) efficiency and service to the community that has grown up around it. A one-size-fits-all design was appropriate for the Interstate, but not for an ITS infrastructure. This technological underpinning must be designed by the people who will use it—based on the needs of their particular region, but within a framework that provides for interconnectivity and the free flow of information and a minimum level of national interoperability.

Funding. ISTEA now allows for most core infrastructure components to be paid for with regular federal-aid funding, usually at an 80 percent federal participation ratio. These same funds can be used for reimbursement of up to 100 percent of certain ITS projects related to traffic control. ISTEA permits federal-aid funds to support operating and maintenance expenses of traffic management systems. Extending these flexibilities will surely be an issue in the renewal of the ISTEA legislation. Nevertheless, while ISTEA greatly expanded the flexibility with which the funds it authorized could be spent, overall transportation needs still exceed the available resources. How these funds are used—whether for investment in ITS technologies or simply to pay for restoring deteriorating pavements—remains a state/local decision.

Architecture. Just as the federal government drew the broad outlines of the Interstate system to ensure interconnectivity, U.S. DOT has invested in developing a national architecture to define minimum requirements for information exchange and allow for standards development.

Standards. U.S. DOT also will facilitate consensus among industry and public investors of key standards to ensure interoperability of certain components and functions. Perhaps more important, standards will allow component interchangeability, promote developer confidence that the various components

will "fit," and ensure competition in the marketplace.

Training. The planning, design, implementation, operation and maintenance of ITS requires a cadre of well-trained professionals at the federal, state and local levels; however, sufficient numbers of these professionals currently do not exist to enable effective delivery of these intelligent transportation systems. Building on the recommendations of a Professional Capacity Building Task Force that met in April of this year, and the ITS America Education and Training Strategic Planning Workshop in June, a strategic plan for meeting ITS training needs has been developed. The plan's goals are to assure that all transportation professionals, elected officials and the general public are aware of the ITS program; to identify federal, state and local ITS technical training needs and develop programs to meet those needs; and to provide for the continuing advancement of the profession through university programs designed to advance not only the state-of-the-art but also the state-of-the-practice of ITS. In the coming months, we will be working with our state and local partners; professional societies such as ITE, ITS America and AASHTO; colleges and universities; and the ITS industry to fund and implement this strategic plan.

Model Deployment. Within each region, the ITS platform will be created from hundreds of independent decisions in dozens of public and private agencies. The federal government has proposed funding two or three model deployments of the core infrastructure to provide local decision-makers real "touch and see" examples of the value of integration and how it can be done—without losing institutional autonomy.

Closing Comments

Phase I of the ITS revolution is upon us. And ITS components are now in the budgets of hundreds of local agencies. In our changing society, the issue at hand is recognizing the local potential for ITS procurements and ensuring that decisions made today will serve us tomorrow.

U.S Postal Service Statement of Ownership, Management and Circulation

1. Title of publication: ITE Journal. 2. Publication No.: 01628178. 3. Date of filing: 11-15-95. 4. Issue Frequency: Monthly. 5. No. of Issues Published Annually: 12. 6. Annual subscription price: \$50 (U.S., Can., Mex.), \$70 elsewhere. 7. Complete mailing address of known office of publication: Institute of Transportation Engineers, 525 School St., S.W., Suite 410, Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA. 8. Complete mailing address of the headquarters of general business offices of the publisher: Institute of Transportation Engineers, 525 School St., S.W., Suite 410, Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA. 9. Full names and complete mailing address of publisher, editor and managing editor: Publisher—Institute of Transportation Engineers, 525 School St., S.W., Suite 410, Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA; Editor: Eduardo F. Dalere, Institute of Transportation Engineers, 525 School St., S.W., Suite 410, Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA; Managing Editor: None. 10. Owner: Institute of Transportation Engineers, 525 School St., S.W., Suite 410, Washington, DC 20024-2797 USA. 11. Known bondholders, mortgagees and other security holders owning or holding 1 percent or more of total amount of bonds, mortgages or other securities: None. 12. The purpose, function, and nonprofit status of this organization and the exempt status for federal income tax purposes: (1) has not changed during preceding 12 months. 13. Publication Name: ITE Journal. 14. Issue Date for Circulation Data Below: December 1995. 15. Extent and Nature of Circulation—Average no. of copies each issue during preceding 12 months: A. Total no. copies—14,103; B. Paid and/or requested circulation—1. Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors and counter sales: 0; 2. Paid or requested mail subscription: 13,050; C. Total paid and/or requested circulation: 13,050; D. Free distribution by mail, samples, complimentary and other free: 670; E. Free distribution outside the mail: 0; F. Total free distribution: 670; G. Total distribution: 13,720; H. Copies not distributed—1. Office use, leftovers, spoiled: 383; 2. Return from news agents: 0; I. Total: 14,103. Percent paid and/or requested information: 95. 16. Actual no. of copies of single issue published nearest to filing date: A. Total no. copies—14,278; B. Paid and/or requested circulation—1. Sales through dealers and carriers, street vendors and counter sales: 0; 2. Paid or requested mail subscription: 13,258; C. Total paid and/or requested circulation: 13,258; D. Free distribution by mail, samples, complimentary and other free: 670; E. Free distribution outside the mail: 0; F. Total free distribution: 670; G. Total distribution: 13,928; H. Copies not distributed—1. Office use, leftovers, spoiled: 350; 2. Return from news agents: 0; I. Total: 14,278. Percent paid and/or requested information: 95. 17. This statement will be printed in the December 1995 issue of this publication. 18. Eduardo F. Dalere, Editor, 11-15-95. I certify that all information furnished on this form is true and complete. I understand that anyone who furnishes false or misleading information on this form or who omits material or information requested on the form may be subject to criminal sanctions (including fines and imprisonment) and/or civil sanctions (including multiple damages and civil penalties.)